(b) Information is as under:—

(*Rs. in crores*)

Item	Cost
Land and building . . .	13.62
Furnishing	1.21
Equipment and machinery	1.73
Airconditioning and refrigeration	2.06
Working capital . . .	0.55

(c) The Hotel consists of 258 rooms, 2 Deluxe Suites and 13 apartments with 608 beds.

(d) Information is as under:—

Year	%age room occupancy
1982-83	41
1983-84	32
1984-85	43
1985-86 . . . . . (up to Nov. 85)	73

(e) Information is as under:—

	Rooms rented out
Gas Authority of India . .	76
Other Public undertakings and private parties . . .	26

Loss of mandays

2320. SHRI S. W. DHABE:

SHRI ASHWANI KUMAR:

Will the Minister of LABOUR be pleased to state:

(a) what is the number of mandays lost due to strikes, lock-outs, closures and lay-off* during the years 1983-84, 1984-85 and from 1st April to 31st October during the current year; and

(b) what steps are being taken for minimising the loss of mandays?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR (SHRI T. ANJAIAH); (a) Information of mandays lost is maintained calendar year-wise. On the basis of information received in the Labour Bureau, a statement showing the number of mandays lost due to strikes, lockouts and lay-offs during the years 1983 to 1985 is attached. (See below). The concept of loss of mandays is not applicable to closed units.

(b) The Industrial Relations Machinery both at the Centre and in the States continues to make efforts to minimise the incidence of work-stoppages and loss of mandays arising therefrom through preventive mediation, conciliation, adjudication and 1 arbitration as the case may be.

Statement

Number of mandavs lost due to strikes, lockouts and lay-offs during 1933-85

Year	Number of mandays lost (in millions) due to		
	Strikes	lockouts	Lay-off;
1983 .	11.54*	21.94	2.71 (P)
1984(P) .	39.56	15.57	0.95
1985(P) . (Jan.-Sept.)	6.89	13.72	0.59

(P) — Provisional

*— Excluding mandays lost due to Bombay Textile strike.

Monopoly Procurement of Cotton in Maharashtra

232L MISS SAROJ KHAPARDE: Will the Minister of TEXTILES be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the scheme of monopoly procurement of